

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Original Application No. 40(T_{HC}) of 2013
And
Original Application No. 34(T_{HC}) of 2013
And
Original Application No. 36(T_{HC}) of 2013
And
Original Application No. 21(T_{HC}) of 2013
And
Original Application No. 106 of 2013
And**

M.A. NO.232/2012 & M.A. NO. 233/2012 IN Appeal No. 70 of 2012

IN THE MATTER OF:

**People for Transparency Through Kamal Anand
Vs.**

State of Punjab &Ors.

And

Pathankot Welfare Society Vs. State of Punjab &Ors.

And

Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab &Ors.

And

Davinder Kumar Vs. Union of India &Ors.

And

Gaurav Jain Vs. State of Punjab &Ors.

And

Capt. Mall Singh &Ors. Vs. Punjab PCB &Ors

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. B.S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER**

**Present: Appearance in Application
No. 40(T_{HC}) of 2013
Applicant Appearance not given
Respondent Nos. 2: Mr. Anil Soni, Advocate
Respondent No. 4: Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate
Respondent No. 5: Mr. A. R. Takkar and Ms. Gurinderjit,
Advocates**

**Application No. 34(T_{HC}) of
2013
Applicant Appearance not given
Respondent No. 1: Mr. Anil Soni, Advocate
Respondent No. 4: Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate
Respondent No. 5&6: Mr. A. R. Takkar and Ms. Gurinderjit,
Advocates**

**Application No. 36(T_{HC}) of
2013
Applicant Mr. Davender Mohan Verma, Advocate
Respondent No. 1: Mr. Anil Soni, Advocate
Respondent No. 3: Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate
Respondent No. 4: Mr. A. R. Takkar and Ms. Gurinderjit,
Advocates
Respondent No. 6: Mr. T.S. Joseph, Advocate**

**Application No. 21(T_{HC}) of
2013
Applicant: Appearance not given
Respondent: No. 1: Mr. Vivek Chib and Mr. Kushal gupta, Advs.
Respondent No. 3: Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate
Respondent No. 4 to 7: Mr. Anil Soni, Advocate**

Respondent No. 8&9: Mr. A. R. Takkar and Ms. Gurinderjit, Advocates

Respondent No. 12&16: Mr. Narender Hooda, Sr. Adv., Mr. D.P. Singh, Adv. and Mr. Vineet Malik, Advocate

Application No. 106 of 2013:

Applicant: Ms. Parul Gupta, Advocate
Respondent Nos. 1to2: Mr. Anil Soni, Adv.
Respondent No. 3: Mr. Abhishek Puri, Advocate

Appeal No. 70 of 2013:

Applicant: Mr. Rahul Shukla, Advocate
Respondent No. 1&2: Mr. A. R. Takkar and Ms. Gurinderjit, Advocates
Respondent No. 3: Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Advocate

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item Nos. 5 to 10 January 20, 2014	<p>The model MSW Management Plan 2014 has been filed on behalf of the State.</p> <p>All the Corporations/Councils/Municipalities appearing in the above cases support this Plan and submit that after sincere efforts this Plan has been prepared. They add that they would not only adopt the same but would fully implement it to achieve the clean environment in all clusters of State of Punjab.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing for the Applicant in different cases submit that they have not received the copies of the Report. Let the copies of the Report be furnished to them during the course of the day positively.</p> <p>The Deputy Commissioner of Bhatinda who is present before the Tribunal submits that he would also prepare a short note in relation to the objections which have been raised by the Applicant in the case against establishment of MSW plant at the site in question. Selection of the site is questioned on the following grounds:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">a) There is no green beltb) There is no proper dumpingc) It is likely to effect the water bodiesd) There is absolutely no proper management and dumping of wastes.e) Proximity to residential area. <p>We must appreciate the effort put in by the Secretary, State of Punjab and his Officers in preparing the MSW Management Plan,</p>

List this matter for final disposed on 24th January, 2014.

.....,CP
(Swatanter Kumar)

.....,JM
(U.D. Salvi)

.....,EM
(B. S. Sajwan)

.....,EM
(R.C. Trivedi)

